

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 2283 OF 2009  
AMRIK SINGH  
VERSUS  
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

... Appellant  
... Respondent

O R D E R

We have heard the counsel for the parties at length.  
The High Court has acquitted respondent Nos. 2 to 4  
after detailed discussion and reaching at the conclusion that  
they were falsely roped in into the entire incidence.  
We find that it was a plausible view taken by the High  
Court and, since, it is a case of acquittal, we are not  
inclined to interfere with the same in exercise of our powers  
under Article 136 of the Constitution.  
This appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

....., J.

[ A.K. SIKRI ]

....., J.

[ R.K. AGRAWAL ]

New Delhi;

January 19, 2017.

ITEM NO.105 COURT NO.8 SECTION IIB  
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No. 2284/2009

SURAIN SINGH Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB Respondent(s)

(With office report for direction)

WITH

Criminal Appeal No. 2283/2009 (With Office Report for Direction)

(Along with the record of Criminal Appeal No. 2099 of 2008)

Date : 19/01/2017 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Parties Mr. Anupam Lal Das, Adv.

Mr. Raktim Gogoi, Adv.

Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Adv.

Mr. Kartikeya Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anirudh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sahil Monga, Adv.

Mr. Yadav Narender Singh, Adv.

Mr. N. Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Tarun Gupta, Adv.

M s. S. Janani, Adv.

Mr. S. Thanwar, Adv.

Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

Mr. V. Madhukar, Adv.

Mr. O. K. Khullar, Adv.

Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Criminal Appeal No. 2283 of 2009

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Criminal Appeal No. 2284 of 2009

Heard.

Arguments concluded.

Judgment reserved.

(Nidhi Ahuja)

(Mala Kumari Sharma)

Court Master Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file.]