

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 2283 OF 2009

AMRIK SINGH

... Appellant

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

... Respondent

O R D E R

We have heard the counsel for the parties at length.

The High Court has acquitted respondent Nos. 2 to 4 after detailed discussion and reaching at the conclusion that they were falsely roped in into the entire incidence.

We find that it was a plausible view taken by the High Court and, since, it is a case of acquittal, we are not inclined to interfere with the same in exercise of our powers under Article 136 of the Constitution.

This appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

....., J.
[A.K. SIKRI]

....., J.
[R.K. AGRAWAL]

New Delhi;
January 19, 2017.

ITEM NO.105

COURT NO.8

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No. 2284/2009

SURAIN SINGH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

(With office report for direction)

WITH

Criminal Appeal No. 2283/2009 (With Office Report for Direction)

(Along with the record of Criminal Appeal No. 2099 of 2008)

Date : 19/01/2017 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Parties

Mr. Anupam Lal Das, Adv.
Mr. Raktim Gogoi, Adv.
Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Adv.
Mr. Kartikeya Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anirudh Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sahil Monga, Adv.
Mr. Yadav Narendra Singh, Adv.

Mr. N. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Gupta, Adv.
Ms. S. Janani, Adv.
Mr. S. Thanwar, Adv.

Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

Mr. V. Madhukar, Adv.

Mr. O. K. Khullar, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Criminal Appeal No. 2283 of 2009

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Criminal Appeal No. 2284 of 2009

Heard.
Arguments concluded.
Judgment reserved.

(Nidhi Ahuja)
Court Master

(Mala Kumari Sharma)
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file.]