

SECTION XII

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9113-9114 AND 9115-9116 OF 2010

R. Jayaraman ...Appellant

-Versus-

R. Ramamoorthi & Ors. ...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

These matters relate to the Joint Hindu Family Property, described in Schedule 1 to 9. Mr. Jayaraman, appellant in Civil Appeal Nos. 9113-9114 of 2010, has filed a letter dated 2nd February, 2013 stating therein that an amicable settlement between the parties has been arrived at. Hence, no more appeal and suit is required.

The matters above mentioned have been filed against the Judgment and Orders dated 10th August, 2007 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras in Appeal Suit No. 768 of 1985 and A.S. No. 974 of 1986.

The service in the above Civil Appeals is as under:-

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9113-9114 OF 2010

It is submitted that there are seven Respondents. Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy and Mr. A.T.M. Sampanth, Advocates have filed vakalatnama/appearance in respect of respondent No. 1 and 2 respectively. Respondent No. 5 has been deleted by this Hon'ble Court's Order dated 27th February, 2009 and service in respect of respondent Nos. 4, 6 and 7 is complete at Special Leave Petition stage.

It is further submitted that Mr. R. Jayaraman, Advocate has filed a letter dated 2nd February, 2013 (copy enclosed) stating therein that partition was amicably settled with the help of their relatives at their village. Hence, no more appeal and suit is required.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9115-9116 OF 2010

It is submitted that there are seven Respondents. Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy, Advocate, has filed vakalatnama/appearance in respect of respondent No. 1. Respondent No. 3 has been deleted by this Hon'ble Court's Order dated 8th February, 2010 and service in respect of respondent Nos. 2, 4, 5 to 7 is complete at Special Leave Petition stage.

Service in both the Civil Appeals is complete.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and so far as appellant is concerned, it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 16th day of March, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to: Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy , Advocate
Mr. S. Mahendran, Advocate
Mr. A.T.M. Sampath, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

vk