

>

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) No.67 OF 2010  
IN  
S.L.P.(CRL.)No.766 OF 2007

HARBHINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. Application for bail is rejected.
2. This is a petition for review of order dated 30.04.2007 passed in S.L.P.(Crl.) No.766 of 2007.
3. There is an inordinate delay of 895 days in filing the review petition. The explanation given by the petitioner for delay in filing the review petition is wholly unsatisfactory. Therefore, the application for condonation of delay is liable to be dismissed and the review petition is liable to be dismissed as barred by time.
4. Even otherwise, after having gone through the review petition and the record of the petition we do not find any justification to review the order dated 30.04.2007. The review petition is accordingly dismissed as barred by time and also on merits.

.....J.  
( G.S. SINGHVI )

.....J.  
( J.M.PANCHAL )

NEW DELHI;  
FEBRUARY 10, 2010.

CHAMBER MATTER

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 67 OF 2010 IN SLP(CRL) No.766/2007

HARBHINDER SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bail and c/delay in filing review petition and office report)

Date: 10/02/2010 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.M. PANCHAL

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Application for bail is rejected.

The review petition is dismissed as barred by time and also on  
merits in terms of the signed order.

(Satish K.Yadav)  
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)  
Court Master

( Signed order is placed on the file )