

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2689 OF 2009

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

R.C. DHAWAN

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Upon hearing the learned counsel appearing on both the sides, we feel that since this matter is of the year 2009 and the respondent has already retired on 31.12.2002 and the promotion was recommended on 23.10.2003 notionally, it is not necessary for us to pass any order in the appeal and hence, the appeal is dismissed.

However, the question of law is kept open.

We make it clear that this order shall not be treated as a precedent.

No costs.

.....J.  
[ PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE ]

.....J.  
[ AMITAVA ROY ]

New Delhi;  
June 02, 2016.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 2689/2009

UNION OF INDIA &amp; ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

R.C. DHAWAN

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 02/06/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY  
(VACATION BENCH)For Appellant(s) Mr. S. N. Terdal, Adv.  
Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.  
Mr. Rabindra Kumar Mohanty, Adv.  
Mr. Avinash Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Rupesh Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Neela, Sharma, Adv.UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, are disposed of.

(Jayant Kumar Arora)  
Sr. P.A.(Sneh Lata Sharma)  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)