

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).22900 / 2007

(From the judgement and order dated 29/10 / 2 007 in
H I G H COURT OF B O M B A Y AT A U R A N G A B A D)

FA No. 686/2007 of The

S A R J E R A O

Petitioner(s)

V E R S U S

B A B U R A O & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 20/03 / 2 009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

H O N ' B L E Dr. J U S T I C E A R I J I T P A S A Y A T

H O N ' B L E M R. J U S T I C E A S O K K U M A R G A N G U L Y

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Nishant Katneshwar, Adv.
Mr.Sunil Kumar Verma,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sudhanshu S.Chaudhari, Adv.
Mr. Naresh Kumar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(Shashi Sareen)
Court Master

(Shashi Bala Vij)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
C I V I L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

C I V I L A P P E A L No. OF 2009
(Arising out of SL P(C) No. 22900 of 2007)

S A R J E R A O

... Appellant(s)

Versus

B A B U R A O & ORS.

... Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Challenge in this appeal is to the order dated 29.10.2007 in Civil Application No. 4733/ 2007 in F.A. No. 686/2007.

We do not

think it necessary to go into the merits of the appeal, in view of the order proposed to be passed. The High Court is requested to expedite the hearing of the appeal and to dispose of the same as early as practicable.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly..

.....J.
(Dr. AR I J I T PAS A Y A T)

.....J.
((ASO K KU M A R GANGUL Y)

New Delhi,
March 20, 2009.