

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 1238 OF 2008

SUNITA JHA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHANTANU JHA Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 30/03/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M.LODHA

For Petitioner(s) Mr.G.V. Chandrashekhar, Adv.
Mr. N.K. Verma, Adv.
Ms.Anjana Chandrashekar,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Nikhil Nayyar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

The transfer petition is allowed.

(Usha Bhardwaj) (Veera Verma)
Court Master Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO.1238 OF 2008

Sunita JhaPetitioner(s)

Versus

Shantanu JhaRespondent(s)

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and for the respondent.

The petitioner is the wife who is now staying at Mumbai. The
respondent-husband had filed divorce petition being M.C. No.1132 of
2008 titled as Mr. Shantanu Jha vs. Sunita Jha before the Court of
Principal Family Judge, Bangalore, Karnataka. The petitioner-wife seeks

transfer of the same to the Family Court at Mumbai. The respondent has no objection to the transfer of the petition. It is stated that the allegations made by the petitioner are not admitted. Learned counsel for the respondent also stated that the respondent husband has a right to get the visitation rights to the child of aged three and a half years old. On transfer of the case to the Family Court Mumbai, the respondent would be at liberty to make an appropriate application before the

...2/-

:2:

Court and the Court may pass the orders in the application. The Principal Judge Bangalore will transfer all the papers to the Family Court Mumbai at the earliest possible.

The transfer petition is allowed accordingly.

.....CJI.
[K.G. BALAKRISHNAN]

.....J.

[P. SATHASIVAM]

.....J.

[R.M.

LODHA]
NEW DELHI,
MARCH 30, 2009.