

j\$

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 304 of 2011

SAMSUNG INDIA ELECTRONICS P.LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties
and have gone through the records of the case.

for

We find that no case has been made out
proceeding against the appellant under Section 420 of
the Indian Penal Code (IPC) read with 120B of the IPC.
The case of the respondent / complainant was that he
had purchased a 29" TV from a distributor / dealer but
when he went home, he found that the TV screen was not
29" but 27".

a

According to the appellant, the size of
Cathode Ray Tube in the TV is of importance and that
was 29". The screen size taken diagonally may be less
than 29" because of the border around the TV screen.
It is submitted that Cathode Ray Tube Televisions are
no longer manufactured by the appellant.

n
Signature Not Verified

In our opinion the facts that have been
mentioned in the complaint do not make out a basis for

Digitally signed by
Meenakshi Kohli
Date: 2015.08.06
12:10:18 IST
Reason:

proceeding against the appellant under Section 420 of

1

the IPC read with 120B of the IPC.

Accordingly, we quash the complaint made against
the appellant.

We have seen the latest brochures that are

signed order.

(Meenakshi Kohli)
COURT MASTER

(Jaswinder Kaur)
COURT MASTER

[Signed Order is placed on the file]