

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1) No(s).5450/2007

NAND KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH SLP(Cr1) NO. 3247 of 2008

(With office report)

SLP(Cr1) NO. 5468 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(Cr1) NO. 6125 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(Cr1) NO. 6199 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(Cr1) NO. 6790 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(Cr1) NO. 7302-7304 of 2011

(With office report)

SLP(Cr1) NO. 7310-7311 of 2011

(With office report)

Date: 23/01/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ravi Shankar Ravi, Adv.
Mr. Binay Kr. Das, Adv.
Mr. Vikrant Singh, Adv.
Mr. Dharam Bir Raj Vohra, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.
Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Item No.35

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioner is referring some letter of Advocate, Mr. Atul Jha, appearing on behalf of Mr.D.K. Sinha, Advocate-on-Record, who is appearing for State of Chhattisgarh stating that he has disclosed his desire to appear in such matters. Such letter dated 19.8.2011 is on record. Even after such letter is on record, the Hon'ble Court has on 16.9.2011 directed to issue notice in all such

SLPs, therefore, it is necessary for the petitioner to confirm service of notice in all such SLPs except SLP(Cr1.) No.3247/2008, wherein the learned Advocate, Mr.Atul Jha, appearing on behalf of Mr. D.K. Sinha, Avocate-on-Record has filed vakalatnama.

In view of the above fact, at the most, petitioner can confirm service through the learned Advocate, Mr. Atul Jha. For confirming service of notice upon the unserved respondents by appropriate mode is very much necessary.

Petitioner is permitted to confirm service through the learned Advocate, Mr. Atul Jha on or before 22.2.2012.

(S.G. SHAH)
REGISTRAR

rd