

Â

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 2182 OF 2009

STATE OF HIMACHAL PRADESH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

NATHU RAM

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, learned AAG appearing for the State of Himachal Pradesh at length today.

We do not find any reason to interfere with the judgment passed by the High Court.

In view of that, we find no merit in the criminal appeal filed by the State.

Accordingly, the criminal appeal is dismissed.

..... J.  
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

..... J.  
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

New Delhi;  
April 17, 2015.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by  
Vishal Anand  
Date: 2015.04.20  
14:41:04 IST  
Reason:  
ITEM NO.115

COURT NO.13

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 2182/2009

STATE OF H.P.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

NATHU RAM

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 17/04/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, AAG  
Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ravi Bakshi, Adv.  
Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Criminal Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(R.NATARAJAN)  
Court Master

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)