

ITEM NO.2

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. S.P.S. LALER

Civil Appeal No(s). 8244/2009

SMT. NARESH KUMARI & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SMT. CHAMELI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 24-01-2023 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Irshad Ahmad, AOR  
Mr. Anuj Mirdha, Adv.

Mr. Ram Swarup Sharma, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Bhaskar Y. Kulkarni, AOR

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR  
Mr. Sandeep S. Tiwari, Adv.  
Mr. Makardhvas Yadav, Adv.  
Ms. Rekha S. Tiwari, Adv.  
Ms. Archi Chauhan, Adv.  
Ms. Subhra Banik, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel through Video Conference, the Court made the following

O R D E R

Mr. R. P. Goyal, Advocate has filed vakalatnama in respect of respondent nos. 1, 2(i) to 2(vi), 3, 4(i), 4(ii), 6(i) and 6(ii), but the same is found to be defective. However, Ld. Counsel submits that he has already cured the defects. Registry to verify and update the same.

He further submits that he has already filed counter affidavit on behalf of respondent no. 1 and will be adopting the same in respect of all the respondents represented by him.

He further submits that he has filed vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no. 1 after obtaining NOC from erstwhile advocate Mr. Bhaskar Y. Kulkarni, AOR. But the same is not reflected in the cause list. Registry to verify and update the database accordingly.

As per service report, respondent no. 5(v) not residing at given address. However, as prayed by Ld. Counsel for petitioner, notice be issued at the address of Narela, Delhi (as mentioned in the petition). Two weeks time, is granted to Ld. counsel for the petitioner, to take fresh steps in this regard.

Service is complete in respect of other respondents.

Appearing respondents, if not filed counter affidavit, four weeks time, is granted to file the same.

List again on 02.03.2023.

S.P.S. LALER  
Registrar