

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).29217/2009

M/S PERECHARLA QUARRY WORK.LCC.STY. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE PERECHARLA QUARRY WORK.LABOUR & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 31779-31780 of 2009
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 08/07/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)
Mr. V.N. Raghupathy,Adv.

For Respondent(s)
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale,Adv.
Mr Prabhakar Parnam, Adv.
Mr T Kanaka Durga, Adv.
Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Petitioner in SLP(C) No. 31779-80/2009 is directed to
serve copy of the SLP to the standing counsel appearing for
the State of Andhra Pradesh on or before 29.7.2010.

He has to file proof of service.

Office has to explain that how a statement is made
for SLP(C) No. 31779-80/2009 that service is to be treated
as complete after considering and disclosing the exact rule
of the law.

The learned Advocate, Mr P.V. Reddy appearing for
respondent No.1 in SLP(C) No. 29217/2009 states that

Item No.69

unserved respondent Nos. 2 to 5 in SLP(C) No. 31779-80 are
not necessary parties.

However, page 90 of the Paper Book confirms that they

are litigants before the High Court and, therefore, they are
necessary parties before this Court.

(S.G. SHAH)
Registrar

hj