

ITEM NO.106

COURT NO.12

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 1198/2011

CHAIRMAN, MAHARANI RADHABAI VIDYARTHI VASTIGRAH &amp; ANR. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MAHADEV MURLIDHAR GANBAWALE &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

Date : 16-01-2020 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Naresh Kumar, AOR

For Respondent(s)  
R-1Mr. Prashant Padmanabhan, Adv.  
Mr. T. Harish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel for respondent NO.1, affected by the present appeal seeks an adjournment as she states that she has not been able to get in touch with the client.

The reinstatement of the respondent No.1 was directed by the order of the School Tribunal dated 31.03.1999 which was affirmed by the High Court vide impugned order dated 25.09.2008. The result is that the respondent No.1 has been without any benefit, for over two decades, of the impugned orders and the contempt proceedings were stayed and yet we are asked to adjourn the matter by learned counsel for respondent No.1.

List after two weeks making it clear that whatever instructions whichever party wants should be obtained by that time.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)  
COURT MASTER

(ANITA RANI AHUJA)  
COURT MASTER