

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

B E F O R E T H E R E G I S T R A R M K H A N J U R A

Civil Appeal No(s). 6542-6543/2012

RAVINDER KUMAR DUBEY

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S. SINDH CONSTRUCTIONS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

Date : 24/02/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. B. S. Banthia, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.  
Mr. Vishnu Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Sarvjit Pratap Singh, adv.  
Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellant and the Ld.counsel for the respondents have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by notice dated 15.04.2013 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the

preme

Su

Court Rules, 2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave t

o

Signature Not Verified

appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to  
Digitally signed by  
Sushma Kumari Bajaj  
Date: 2015.02.27

file any further  
15:39:50 IST

Reason: statement of case. The order further provides  
that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a  
.....2

ITEM NO.117

-2-

statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar

SB