

ITEM NO.113

COURT NO.10

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Civil Appeal No(s). 1373/2013

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

B.B. YADAV(D) BY LRS. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

Date : 26/03/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Gautam Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Harish Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Upadhyay, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed in terms of the Signed Order.

(NEELAM GULATI)

(SAROJ SAINI)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

Usha Rani Bhardwaj

Date: 2015.03.27

16:49:23 IST

Reason:

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 1373 OF 2013

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

B.B. YADAV(D) BY LRS. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

The Award in question is dated 18 th November, 2003.

The Respondent asserts that he is in possession of the land,
and this assertion is agreed to by the learned counsel
appearing for the Appellant-State. Therefore Provisions of
Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and
Transparency in land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and
Resettlement Act, 2013 come into operation. The legal effect
is that the acquisition proceedings have elapsed. We do not
therefore need to go into the Impugned Order dated 04.08.2006,
which in any case is in favour of the Respondent.

The Appeal is therefore dismissed by declaring that
the subject acquisition has lapsed.

.....J
(VIKRAMAJIT SEN)

.....J
(ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE)

NEW DELHI
MARCH 26, 2015