

>ITEM NO.37

COURT NO.11 SECTION XI  
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3695 OF 2007

SRI ATIBAL SINGH AND ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

PRAMOD SHANKAR UPADHYAY AND ORS.  
(office report on default)

Respondent(s)

Date: 23/07/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
(IN CHAMBERS)

For Appellant(s) M/S.Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co.,Adv.  
Shri G.Balaji, Adv.

For Respondent(s)  
Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma,Adv.  
Mr. H.S. Parihar ,Adv  
Mr. C.D. Singh ,Adv.  
Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appellants have not complied with the orders passed on 22.3.2010 & 26.4.2010. Even the cost has not been deposited in terms of order dated 26.4.2010.

Four weeks' further time is allowed to the appellants to comply with the order dated 22.3.2010 subject to deposit of an additional cost of Rs.3,000/-. This means that the appellants shall have to deposit Rs.2,000/- with the Supreme Court Employees' Mutual Welfare Fund and another sum of Rs.3,000/- with the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee. If the needful is not done, the appeal shall stand dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajni Mukhi)  
P.A.

(Renu Diwan)  
Court Master

(Signed Order is placed in the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3695 OF 2007

SRI ATIBAL SINGH AND ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

PRAMOD SHANKAR UPADHYAY AND ORS.

Respondent (s)

O R D E R

The appellants have not complied with the orders passed on 22.3.2010 & 26.4.2010. Even the cost has not been deposited in terms of order dated

26.4.2010.

Four weeks' further time is allowed to the appellants to comply with the order dated 22.3.2010 subject to deposit of an additional cost of Rs.3,000/-. This means that the appellants shall have to deposit Rs.2,000/- with the Supreme Court Employees' Mutual Welfare Fund and another sum of Rs.3,000/- with the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee. If the needful is not done, the appeal shall stand dismissed for non-prosecution.

New Delhi  
July 23, 2010

.....J  
(G.S.SINGHVI)