

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).1199/2007

(From the judgement and order dated 27/10/2006 in
of The HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR)

CRLA No. 202/2001

AGNU PRASAD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 26/10/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ramesh Chandra Pandey, Adv. (A.C.) (N.P.)

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Further to the Office Report dated 22nd
October, 2010, we had requested Mr. Sanjay Bansal,
learned advocate and Secretary of the Supreme Court
Bar Association, to ascertain as to whether Mr.
Ramesh Chandra Pandey, learned advocate, who had
been appointed as Amicus Curiae in this matter,
was still practising in this Court, as he had
failed to appear on several occasions when the
matter was taken up.

=-2

We have been informed by Mr. Bansal that Mr.
Pandey has not been appearing in the courts for
quite some time.

Having regard to the above, we direct the
Registry to take steps to appoint another learned

advocate, as Amicus Curiae, in place of Mr. Ramesh

Chandra Pandey.

Let the matter be listed after three weeks.

(Sheetal Dhingra)
Court Master

(S.S.R.Krishna)
Court Master