

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 647 of 2007

RAJU BABURAO GAIKWAD

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard.

We do not see any ground to interfere with the impugned order.
The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

It appears that the appellant has been in custody for the last 14 years. If he is still in custody, the State of Maharashtra is directed to consider and finalise his case for premature release within a period of three months from today.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.
(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

.....J.
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

New Delhi,
February 9, 2017.

ITEM NO.127

COURT NO.11

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 647/2007

RAJU BABURAO GAIKWAD

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date : 09/02/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In terms of the signed order, the appeal is dismissed.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER

(SUMAN JAIN)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)