

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO . 673 of 2007
SUKHLAL @ SUKHRAM

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Having regard to the fact that a compromise has allegedly been taken place and the appellant has been in custody for some time, we reduce the sentence to the period already undergone by him. However, we do not find any ground to disturb the conviction. The appellant is on bail. His bail bonds stand discharged. The appeal is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.
(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

.....J.
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

New Delhi,
February 9, 2017.

ITEM NO.129 COURT NO.11 SECTION IIA
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 673/2007

SUKHLAL @ SUKHRAM

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 09/02/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. Akshat Shrivastava,Adv.

Ms. Manjeet Kirpal,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Arjun Garg,Adv.

Mr. Mishra Saurabh,Adv.

Mr. Manish Yadav,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In terms of the signed order, the appeal is disposed of.

(MAHABIR SINGH)

(SUMAN JAIN)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)