

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1979 OF 2010

NEW EDUCATION INSTITUTE & ANR.APPELLANTS

Versus

MAHEJABIN ASHFAK AHMED SHAIKH & ORS.RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The order terminating the services of respondent No.1 dated 30.04.1994 (Annexure P-1) indicates that the services of the said respondent came to be terminated at the end of the academic year on account of the fact that her appointment was limited to the academic year 1993-94.

Learned counsel for the appellants argued that the services of respondent No.1 were terminated on account of the fact that she had been appointed against a post reserved for a Scheduled Caste candidate. This being not the reason for terminating the services of respondent No.1 in the impugned order dated 30.04.1994, it is not possible for us to accept the aforestated plea so as to validate the impugned order.

For the reasons recorded hereinabove, the instant appeal is dismissed.

.....J.
(JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR)

.....J.
(ARUN MISHRA)

NEW DELHI,
NOVEMBER 26, 2014.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SCivil Appeal No(s).1979/2010

NEW EDUCATION INSTITUTE & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MAHEJABIN ASHFAK AHMED SHAIKH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for permission to place addl. documents on record)

Date : 26/11/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRAFor Appellant(s) Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Adv.
Mr.Manendra Dubey, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr.Colin Gonsalves, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Sarvajeet Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.

Ms. Praveena Gautam, Adv.

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
COURT MASTER(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)