

SECTION XVII  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No. 2021 OF 2007

Tata Steel Ltd.

.... Appellant

VERSUS

Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory  
Commission & Ors.

.... Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 21.03.2017 when the court was pleased to pass the following order:

**"As per the office report dated 21.11.2016, since learned counsel appearing for Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 has expired, notice for alternative arrangement was issued to respondent Nos. 2 to 4 but not one has entered appearance on their behalf. In the interest of justice, we would request the learned counsel for the appellant to serve a copy on respondent Nos. 2 to 4 for making alternative arrangement in the matter. Dasti service, in addition, is permitted. List after four weeks."**

It is submitted that there are four respondents in the matter. Fresh notice for alternative arrangement was issued to respondent no. 2 to 4 through registered A.D along with Dasti. Ms. Madhumita Bhattacharjee, Advocate has on 25.04.2017 filed vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 4. Erstwhile Counsel of Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 had already filed reply on behalf of the respondents. Mr. Farukh Rasheed, Advocate has filed vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no. 1. but has not filed counter affidavit. Service is complete in the matter.

It is further submitted that Original Record is not called from the Tribunal in view of Registry's circular dated 03.12.2014.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

DATED THIS THE 25th DAY OF APRIL, 2017.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to : Mr. Manik Karanjawala, Advocate  
Mr. Farrukh Rasheed, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR