

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.10

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 658 OF 2008

STATE OF HARYANA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SHAKUNTLA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleadment as party respondent and office report)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1005 of 2008  
(With office report)

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1707 of 2008  
(With office report)

Date: 15/03/2012 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For the appearing parties:

Mr. S.B. Sanyal, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. R.K. Das, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. C.V. Subba Rao, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Chander Yadav,Adv.  
Mr. Vibhuti Sushant Gupta,Adv.  
For Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

For State of Haryana:

Mr. Manjit Singh,AAG,  
Mr. Tarjit Singh,Adv.  
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta,Adv.  
For Ms. Naresh Bakshi,Adv.

Mr. V. Giri, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. A.V. Palli, Adv.  
Mr. Atul Sharma,Adv.  
Mr. Anupam Raina,Adv.  
Mrs.Rekha Palli,Adv.

2

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

CrI.M.P. No. 1602 of 2008 in  
CrI.A.No. 658 of 2008

This is an application filed in CrI. A.No. 658 of 2008 by the applicant Naresh Kumar praying for his impleadment as a party respondent in the criminal appeal.

It is stated in the application that the applicant is the son of Late Shri Manohar Lal

and late Sushila, who were murdered on 5.7.1994  
and that the applicant himself filed the  
complaint on the basis of which the investigation  
was done by the police and in pursuance thereof  
the respondents were convicted by the trial court  
but acquitted by the High Court.

Having heard learned counsel for the  
parties, we are not inclined to implead the  
applicant Naresh Kumar as a party respondent but  
we are inclined to hear the applicant or his  
counsel in the criminal appeal.

The application stands disposed of.

Hearing concluded.

Judgment reserved.

(KUSUM SYAL)  
SR.P.A

(M.S. NEGI)  
COURT MASTER