

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3890 OF 2009

SMT. MUNEMMA (D) BY LRS.Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M. SADANANDAPPA (D) BY LRS. & ORS.Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. As the plaint has not been presented immediately after the dismissal of the appeal. There was unexplained further delay of more than three months in seeking the return of plaint from the concerned Court. Thus, there was lack of diligence on the part of the appellant.

2. We are, therefore, not inclined to interfere. The Civil Appeal is dismissed. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

..... J.
(ARUN MISHRA)

..... J.
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

NEW DELHI;
18th April, 2018.

ITEM NO.105

COURT NO.10

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s).3890/2009

SMT. MUNEMMA (D) BY LRS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M. SADANANDAPPA (D) BY LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 18-04-2018 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. S.J. Amith, Adv.
Mr. Harisha S.R., Adv.
for Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Abhishek Krishna, Adv.
ms. T. Archana, Adv.
For Mr. K.K. Mani, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Sarita Purohit)
Court master

(Jagdish Chander)
Branch Officer

(Signed order is placed on the file)