

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2009  
CC 17719/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 27/07/2009 in  
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI) FAO No. 292/2009

RAJESH KUMAR Petitioner(s)  
VERSUS  
R.S.CHHABRA & ORS. Respondent(s)

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP)  
WITH SLP(C) NO. 29485 of 2009  
(With appln.(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record,  
prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 20/11/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Pritesh Kapur, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Rakhi Ray, Adv.  
Mr. S. Ray, Adv.  
  
Mr. P.S. Narasimha, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.  
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Gaurav Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Parnita Sekhar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sanjeev Sachdeva, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Bhandari, Adv.  
Mr. Chitranshul Sinha, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) No.....CC 17719/2009

Delay condoned.

We find no reason to interfere. Special leave  
petition is dismissed. However, this will not come in the  
way of the petitioner challenging the order dated  
5.2.2008 whereby the petitioner's application under Order  
23 Rule 3 (proviso) CPC was dismissed in accordance with  
law.

.....2.

- 2 -

ITEM NO.11 COURT NO.5 DATED 20.11.2009 ...contd.

SLP(C) No. 29485/2009

We find no reason to interfere. Special leave  
petition is dismissed. However, this will not come in  
the way of the petitioner filing an application under  
Order 23 Rule 3(proviso) in view of the bar contained in  
Rule 3A.

( Ravi P. Verma )  
Court Master

( M.S. Negi )  
Court Master