

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 537 OF 2006

KULDEEP BISHNOI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay, PLACING ADDL. DOCUMENTS and office report)

WITH T.P.(C) NO. 698 of 2007

(With office report)

T.P.(C) NO. 776 of 2007

(With office report)

T.P.(C) NO. 777 of 2007

(With office report)

Date: 20/11/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Ms. S. Janani, Adv.

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.

Ms. Neha Aggarwal, Adv.

Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv.

Ms. Meru Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv.

Ms. Madhurima Tatia, Adv.

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv

Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv

Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv

Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv.

Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Outcome of Dasti Service be filed before 6th December, 2007.

Served respondent may file Counter Affidavit.

Nobody is present for the petitioner in T.P.(C) 698/2007. This T.P. is filed in the month of May, 2007. Wherein on 26th September, 2007 Hon'ble Court has passed an order to issue notice returnable within three weeks and also permitted dasti service in addition.

..2/-

- 2-

REGISTRAR COURT Item 15

COURT NO.2

SECTION X

Hon'ble Court has ordered to tag this Transfer Petition with W.P(C) 537/2006.

In the T.P(C) No. 698/2007 except Respondent No.1, all are State Authorities. There is no confirmation of service affected upon any of the respondent.

Today Mr. Rasik Lal Mardia being Respondent No.1 in this T.P. © 698/2007 is present before this Court. He accepts the notice.

The petitioner is directed to serve an advance copy of the entire set of pleadings to him within two weeks, without fail. Mr. Mardia, Respondent No.1 is confirming his address, and therefore, there is no problem to serve him copy of the pleadings.

Petitioner has failed to serve all the respondents though there is order for dasti service in September, 2007.

Therefore, petitioner is directed to serve all the respondents before the next date, without fail.

In connected matters though there is an order to issue notice on 12 th October, 2007, Office has issued notice on 15th November, 2007.

Office has to explain that why notices are not issued at the earliest, in view of the specific order of the Hon'ble Court in T.P(C) 698/2007 to issue notice returnable within three weeks.

Considering the fact that in all the petitions most of the respondents are Government Authorities. Petitioners have to serve them at the earliest. Additional Dasti Service is allowed in all matters.

List the matter on 6th December, 2007.

Served respondent may file Counter Affidavit till then.

(S.G. Shah)
Registrar