

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 537 OF 2006

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

KULDEEP BISHNOI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

with

WP(C) Nos.154,224,680,160/2007

(with office report)

Date: 10/07/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Mohan Krishna Ghosh, Adv.
Ms. S. Janani, Adv.
Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi, Adv.
Mr. Dhananjay Garg, Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.
Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Adv.
Mr. R.C. Kohli, Adv.
Petitioner-In-Person
Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv.
M/S. J.S. Wad & Co.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.
Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. Nimshim Vashum, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv.
Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv.
Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv.
Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv.
Mr. Manjit Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Anjani Kr. Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.
Mr. Ravindra Bana, Adv.
Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv.
Mr. Raj Kumar Tanwar, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.
Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv.
Mr. T.V. George, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. Gunnam Venkateswara Rao, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.

Mr. Deepak Prabhakaran, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. K.H. Nobin Singh, Adv.
Mr. Pragyan Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Arputham Aruna & Co.
Mr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.
Mr. Brijesh Panchal, Adv.
Mr. Anil Srivastav, Adv.
Mr. C. Kannan, Adv.
Mr. G.N. Reddy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The learned Advocate, Ms. Kiran Suri has to cure the defects in the statement of objection filed by them.

The learned Advocate, Mr. Raj Kumar Tanwar, appearing on behalf of Mr. D.S. Mahra, Union of India in connected petition accepts notice in WP(C) No.680/2007 also. Petitioner in such petition has to provide them additional copy of the pleadings within a week against proper

Item No.144

-3-

acknowledgment which is to be filed on record to avoid issuance of further notice.

Registry has to rectify the database so as to disclose the name of the learned counsel for the respondents, who have entered appearance, in the cause list.

All served respondents may file counter affidavit before 17.8.2012.

			(S.G. SHAH)	
			REGISTRAR	

rd