

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 1201 OF 2009

HIMANI @ MANU Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANPREET SINGH MALHOTRA Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 26/02/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Saurabh Trivedi, Adv.
Mr.Vinay K. Pandey, Adv.
Mr.Deepak Goel, Adv. for
Ms. S. Janani,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of signed
order.

(Pardeep Kumar)
Court Master

(Shashi Bala Vij)
Court Master

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO. 1201 OF 2009

HIMANI @ MANU ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

MANPREET SINGH MALHOTRA ...RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. This transfer petition has been filed by the petitioner-

wife seeking transfer of HMA No. 217 of 2009, titled Manpreet Singh Malhotra Vs. Himani @ Manu from the court of learned Additional District Judge (North), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi to a court of competent jurisdiction at Dehradun (Uttrakhand).

2. Despite service of notice, none is present on behalf of the respondent.

3. We have heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and gone through the petition.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case and looking to the convenience of the wife, we deem it proper to transfer HMA No. 217 of 2009, titled Manpreet Singh Malhotra Vs.

Himani @ Manu from the court of learned Additional District Judge (North), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi to the District Judge, Dehradun, Uttrakhand, who may hear the petition

: 2 :

either himself or assign the same to a court of competent jurisdiction. Ordered accordingly.

5. The Transfer Petition is allowed accordingly.

.....J.
[V.S. SIRPURKAR]

.....J.
[DR. MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA]

NEW DELHI
FEBRUARY 26, 2010.