

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 31851/2009

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16/07/2009 in WP No. 16918/2009 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore)

M/S. B.R.N. BLACK DIAMOND OVRES.& MIN PRO Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(interim relief and office report)
(For Final Disposal)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 12100-12101/2009
(With Interim Relief and Office Report) (For Final Disposal)

SLP(C) No. 13723-13727/2009
(With appln.(s) for intervention and substitution of LR's of the deceased respondent and Office Report)
(For Final Disposal)

SLP(C) No. 19264-19266/2009
(With Interim Relief and Office Report) (For Final Disposal)
SLP(C) No. 28617/2009
(With Interim Relief and Office Report) (For Final Disposal)
SLP(C) No. 28623/2009
(With Interim Relief and Office Report) (For Final Disposal)
SLP(C) No. 30499/2009
(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 31092/2009
(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and appln.(s) for impleadment and Office Report) (For Final Disposal)

SLP(C) No. 31361/2009
(With Interim Relief and Office Report) (For Final Disposal)

SLP(C) No. 31605/2009

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
(With Interim Relief and Office Report) (For Final Disposal)

Gulshan Kumar Arora

Date: 2016.01.15

17:09:51 IST

Reason:

SLP(C) No. 32112/2009
(With Interim Relief and Office Report) (For Final Disposal)

2

SLP(C) No. 35923/2009
(With Interim Relief and Office Report) (For Final Disposal)

SLP(C) No. 11276/2010
(With Office Report) (For Final Disposal)

SLP(C) No. 24068/2011
(With Office Report) (For Final Disposal)

Date : 05/01/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shyamohan, Adv.
Mr. Rayjith Mark, Adv.
Mr. Neeraj Shekar, Adv.
Mr. Surya Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Shahid Aziz, Adv.
Mr. K. Rajeev, AOR

Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Uday Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. A. Raghunath, AOR

Mr. P. V. Dinesh, AOR

Mr. K. Raghavacharyulu, Adv.
Mr. Kailash Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Prakash, AOR

Mr. S. Ganesh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Syed Naqvi, Adv.
Mrs. Namrata K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. P.P. Kanwer, Adv.
Mr. M. A. Krishna Moorthy, AOR

Mr. A.V. Rangam, AOR
Mr. Buddy A. anganadhan, Adv.
Mr. D.v. Raghu Vamsy, Adv.

Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni, Adv.
Mr. Anand Srivastav, Adv.
Mr. Shubham Jaiswal, Adv.
For M/s. Lex Regis Law Offices, AOR

Ms. Kiran Suri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Gautam Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ujjwal Mishra, Adv.
Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, AOR

3

Ms. Kiran Suri, AOR

Mr. S.K. Kulkarni, Adv.
Mr. M. Gireesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni, AOR

Mr. Neeraj Shekhar, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gaurav Juneja, Adv.
Mr. Shikhar Srivastav, Adv.
For M/s. Khaitan & Co., AOR

Mr. Bharadwaj S. Iyengar, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.
For M/s. AP & J Chambers, AOR

Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni, AOR

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, AOR

Mr. Arun K. Verma, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Narain, Adv.
For M/s. S. Narain & Co., AOR
M/s. Khaitan & Co., AOR

Ms. Nandini Gore, Adv.
Ms. Devina Sehgal, Adv.
Ms. Tahira Karanjawala Adv.
Ms. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.
For M/s. Karanjawala & Co., AOR

Mr. T.V. Ratnam, AOR
Mr. M. Sowri Dev, Adv.

M/s Suresh A. Shroff & Co., AOR

Mr. K. Maruthi Rao, Adv.
Mrs. Anjani Aiyagari, AOR

Ms. Uttara Babbar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Though a letter circular was filed seeking adjournment, learned counsel for the State submitted that she will file a chart indicating the factual position in each case in view of the amendments brought into the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 (10 of 2015).

4

It is submitted by Mr. Ganesh, learned senior counsel appearing for some of the petitioners that in none of the cases, the applications can be treated to be pending as they have been dealt with by various authorities.

Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, learned senior counsel would submit, in his turn, that though his application was pending and not allowed, it may or may not become infructuous by virtue of the amendments brought into the Act, but in any situation the judgment rendered by the High Court deserves to be dislodged.

Learned counsel for the State shall file a chart indicating the factual position in respect of each case within four weeks hence.

Let the matter be listed in the last week of February 2016.

(Gulshan Kumar Arora)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Court Master