

ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.11

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2010

(CC 1499/2010)

(From the judgement and order dated 03/07/2009 in RSA No. 814/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB &amp; HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

JAGJIT SINGH &amp; ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAVITRI &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

With I.A.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP)

Date: 02/08/2010                      This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Arun Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

This petition is directed against judgment dated 03.07.2009 of the learned Single Judge of Punjab and Haryana High Court whereby he refused to interfere with concurrent judgments and decrees passed by the trial court and lower appellate court in the suit filed by the respondents for possession of the property bearing M.C.No.1143 measuring 1100 square yards.

Since the findings recorded by the two courts on the respondents' right to the property in dispute were based on correct

2

and comprehensive evaluation of the pleadings and evidence of the parties, the learned Single Judge rightly declared that no substantial question of law arises for consideration by the High Court.

In our view, the reasons assigned by the learned Single Judge for refusing to entertain the petitioners' challenge to the judgments and decrees of the two courts below are legally correct

and the impugned judgment does not call for interference by this Court.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

( Satish K.Yadav )  
Court Master

( Phoolan Wati Arora )  
Court Master