

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

IA 3,4 & 5/ 2 0 0 8 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5 8 9 9 - 5 9 0 0 OF 2 0 0 8

RAMACH A N D R A & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VER SUS

SHI V A R A M & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions, exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

Date: 21 / 1 1 / 2 0 0 8 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E R.V. RA V E E N D R A N

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E J.M. PA NC H A L

For Appellant(s)

Mr. L. Nageswar a Rao, Sr. Adv.

Mr. K. Vishwan ath a n, Adv.

Mr. G. Bal aji, Adv.

Ms. Mahal a k s h m i P avani, Adv.

for M/s. Mahala k s h m i Balaji & Co., Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Devadatt Ka m a t, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Shandilya, Adv.

Mr. Rauf Rahi m, Adv.

Mr. N.S. Bhatt, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The interim order dated 22. 9 . 2 0 0 8 makes it clear that only the respondents have been permitted to perform pujas. They cannot therefore depute anyone else to do discharge their functions. This Court also made it clear that the A r c h a k s appointed by the trustees shall be permitted to work under the respondents.

.....2.

This Court has directed that the respondents shall receive the emoluments only through the temple management. This clearly means that for whatever they receive from the management, the respondent should give acknowledgment/ receipt.

Learned counsel for the respondents stated that respondents have discontinued collecting money directly from devotees and that they are no longer issuing receipts. The said statement is recorded.

In view of the above, no modification of the interim order is called for. Accordingly, I.As. 3,4 & 5 are disposed of.

( Ravi P. Verma )  
Court Master

( Anand Singh )  
Court Master