

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Civil Appeal No(s). 5899-5900/2008

RAMACHANDRA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SHIVARAM (D) THR. LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing substitution appln. and directions and dismissal of appeal and exemption and exemption from filing O.T. and exemption from filing O.T. of annexures and permission to file additional documents and setting aside an abatement and substitution of deceased respondent and substitution of l.rs of the deceased respondent and office report)

WITH

CONMT.PET. (C) No. 338-339/2009 In C.A. Nos. 5899-5900/2008

CONMT.PET. (C) No. 175-176/2015 In C.A. Nos. 5899-5900/2008

(With appln.(s) for permission to file additional documents and Office Report)

Date : 08/11/2016 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. G. Balaji,Adv.

M/s. Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co.,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rauf Rahim,Adv.

Mr. S. N. Bhat,Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy,Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy,Adv.

Mr. Nishanth Patil,Adv.

Mr. Anup Jain,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The office report indicates that the Respondent Nos.1(iii), (v), (vi) and 2(vi) have refused to accept the dasti notice. This refusal on their part constitutes not proper service. Hence, fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the said respondents shall be taken by the learned counsel for the appellants within a period of four weeks through the concerned District Court, the particulars of which shall be furnished by the learned counsel for the appellants within the period prescribed above.

Respondent No.2(iii) has been served by dasti but no one has entered appearance on his behalf.

List again on 7.12.2016.

(M V RAMESH)  
Registrar

MG