

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 1153 OF 2009

SWATI RAHUL KUMAR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAHUL ARUN KUMAR Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 09/04/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anshuman Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Kumar Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh, Adv.
Mr. M.K. Michael, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mahesh Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Bishnu Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. V.Sushant, Adv.
Mr. S.N.Tiwari, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Shashi Sareen)
Court Master

(Shashi Bala Vij)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) No. 1153 OF 2009

SWATI RAHUL KUMAR ... Petitioner (s)

Versus

RAHUL ARUN KUMAR ... Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. The present Transfer Petition has been filed seeking transfer of Marriage Petition No. 368 of 2007 titled Swati Rahul Kumar Vs. Rahul Arun Kumar pending in the Court of

learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Thane, Mumbai to the court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Karkardooma, Delhi.

2. Considering the overall situation, we deem it proper to transfer Marriage Petition No. 368 of 2007 titled Swati Rahul Kumar Vs. Rahul Arun Kumar pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Thane, Mumbai to the court of learned District Judge, Delhi who may try the suit himself or assign the same for disposal to a court of competent jurisdiction. Ordered accordingly.

3. The Transfer Petition is allowed accordingly.

.....J.
(V.S.SIRPURKAR)

.....J.
(Dr.MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA)

New Delhi,
April 09, 2010.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO. 633 OF 2009

REJI R. ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

SUNIL KUMAR K. ...RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. This transfer petition has been filed by the petitioner-wife seeking transfer of H.M.A. Petition No. 229 of 2009, titled Sunil Kumar K. Vs. Reji R., from the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Karkardooma, Delhi to the Family Court, Thiruvalla, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala.

2. We have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and gone through the petition.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case and looking to the convenience of the wife, we deem it proper to transfer H.M.A. Petition No. 229 of 2009, titled Sunil Kumar K.

Vs. Reji R., pending before the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Karkardooma, Delhi to the District Judge, Pathanamthitta District, Kerala who may hear the petition either himself or assign the same to a court of competent jurisdiction.

Ordered accordingly.

4. The Transfer Petition is allowed accordingly.

.....J.
[V.S. SIRPURKAR]
.....J.
[DEEPAK VERMA]