

ITEM NO.103

COURT NO.14

SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 7729/2009

SRI JAGANNATH TEMPLE MNG. COMMITTEE

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SIDDHA MATH &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for intervention and vacating stay and exemption from filing O.T. and permission to file rejoinder affidavit and vacating stay and interim relief and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 7730/2009

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 142/2010

(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 221/2010

(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 2981/2010

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 3414/2010

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

C.A. No. 3415/2010

C.A. No. 3446/2010

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 9167-9168/2010

(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 9627/2010

(With Office Report)

Date : 17/09/2014 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Harin P. Raval, Sr. Adv.

Mr. J.K. Das, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S.K. Das, Adv.

Mr. S. Mishra, Adv.

Mr. V. K. Monga, Adv.

Mr. L. Nageswara Rao, ASG

Mr. Shibashish Misra, Adv.

Mr. Shibashish Misra, Adv.  
Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, Adv.  
Mr. Vinoo Bhagat, Adv.

Ms. Rajdipa Beheura, Adv.  
Mr. V.S. Lakshmi, Adv.  
Mr. A. Venayagam Balan, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. K. Verma, Adv.

Resp. in  
C.A.No.2981/2010

Ms. Rajdipa Beheura, Adv.  
Mr. V.S. Lakshmi, Adv.  
Mr. A. Venayagam Balan, Adv.

Mr. Vinoo Bhagat, Adv.  
Mr. Rutwik Panda, Adv.  
Ms. Anshu Malik, Adv.

For intervenor

Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.

Mr. Pranab Kumar Mullick, Adv.  
Mr. Rajiv Roy, Adv.  
Mr. A. Chatterjee, Adv.  
Mrs. Soma Mullick, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv.

Mr. Atul Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Adv.  
Mr. Sibho Sankar Mishra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard Mr. Harin P. Raval, learned senior counsel on behalf of the appellant herein and Mr. L. Nageswara Rao, learned Additional Solicitor General on behalf of the State of Odisha.

Mr. Raval points out to Paragraph 7 of the impugned judgment where there is a reference to the O.E.A. Claim Case No. 58 of 1975 and in relation to the other appellants also there are claim

cases. Therefore, it is necessary for us to peruse the original record in relation to the claim cases involved in these matters. Therefore, the learned counsel appearing for the State Government is directed to secure the entire record relating to the matters. We further direct the concerned Tehsildar to file a detailed statement showing the claim numbers with reference to the claims in respect of the lands in question.

Respondents are also permitted to file additional documents, if any.

For the aforesaid purpose, the matters are adjourned to 12.11.2014.

(VINOD KUMAR)  
COURT MASTER

(MALA KUMARI SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER