

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.9

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 2129-2130/2012

DORAIRAJ

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

DORAISAMY AND ORS. &amp; ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

I.A. NO.29105/2019 - APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION

I.A. NO.29107/2019 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING SUBSTITUTION APPLN.

Date : 08-04-2019 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI  
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s)

Mr. G. Balaji, AOR  
Mr./Ms. Shiva Vijaya Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Dr. (Mrs. ) Vipin Gupta, AOR

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AOR  
Mr. Siddhant Kohli, Adv.  
Ms. Pallavi Sengupta, Adv.  
Ms. Garima Jain, Adv.

Mr. Ashish Kumar Upadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, AOR  
Mr. Y. Lokesh, Adv.  
Mr. Babul Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Mithun Shashank, Adv.  
Mr. Vikas Mehta, AOR

Applicant(s)

Mr. Anand Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Amrendra K. Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Interlocutory Application has been filed seeking permission to bring on record the legal representatives of deceased N. Dharmalingan, the original intervenor, who was allowed to intervene in the matter pursuant to the order dated 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2015.

Learned counsel for the applicants has brought to my notice Rule 2(1)(o) of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 which says, 'respondent' includes an intervenor.

There is also a delay in filing the aforesaid application for substitution of the original intervenor, which has been satisfactorily explained. Accordingly, delay in filing the substitution application is condoned.

For the reasons stated, application for substitution of the legal representatives of the original intervenor is allowed. They are allowed to intervene in the matter in terms of original order dated 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2015.

Applications shall stand disposed of.

[VINOD LAKHINA]  
AR-cum-PS

[VIDYA NEGI]  
BRANCH OFFICER