

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.8

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.) No(s).  
8762-8765/2008

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated  
01/02/2008 in CRMWP No. 9082/2005, CRMWP No. 9083/2005, CRMWP No.  
9084/2005 and CRMWP No. 9085/2005 passed by the High Court Of  
Judicature at Allahabad)

SIPAHI RAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VIJAY SHANKAR PANDEY &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for permission to file additional documents and  
office report)  
(for final disposal)

Date : 09/08/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing  
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Nadeem Murtaza, Adv.  
Mr. Gaurav Mehrotra, Adv.  
Mr. Gautam Khazanchi, Adv.  
Ms. Tara Narula, Adv.  
Mrs. Priya Puri, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Siddhartha Dave, Adv.  
Ms. Jemtiben A.O., Adv.  
Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, AOR  
  
Mr. Amarendra Sharan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, AOR

Mr. Manoj K. Mishra, AOR  
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Umesh Dubey, Adv.  
Ms. Meghna Kalra, Adv.

Mr. M. C. Dhingra, AOR

Mr. Piyush Kant Roy, Adv.  
Ms. Gauri Neo Rampal, Adv.

Ms. Rekha Pandey, AOR

Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv.  
Mr. Kumar Parimal, Adv.  
Mr. Mukul Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard learned Senior Counsel/Counsel appearing for the parties  
at length today.

We find no merit in the Special Leave Petitions.

The Special Leave Petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

However, the above notwithstanding, we grant liberty to the  
State Authorities to take necessary steps in the matter in  
question, if they are entitled to, under the provisions of law.

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, the  
questions of law raised are kept open.

(R. NATARAJAN)  
Court Master

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)  
Court Master