

ITEM NO.71

COURT NO.6

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).3640/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 28/08/2010 in
of The HIGH COURT OF CHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR)

CRLA No. 620/1992

SMT. JAISHREE SAHU

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P.
now CHHATTISGARH
(With appln(s) for bail and office report)

Respondent(s)

Date: 16/08/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ravindra Shrivastava, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Atul Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Anup Jain, Adv.for
Mr. S.K. Verma,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.
(State of Chhattisgarh)

(State of Madhya Pradesh) Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1594 OF 2011
@ S.L.P.(CRIMINAL) NO.3640/2011

Jaishree Sahu

..Appellant

versus

State of Madhya Pradesh
now Chhattisgarh

..Respondent

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment and order dated 28th August, 2010 passed by the High Court of Chhattisgarh at Bilaspur in Criminal Appeal No. 620 of 1992.

On the facts of the case, we uphold the conviction of the accused-appellant but reduce the period of sentence to the sentence already undergone by the accused.

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;
August 16, 2011

.....J.
[CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD]