

ÖITEM NO.29
(In Chambers)

COURT NO.11

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 597 OF 2008

MUNIYAMMA & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

ANITHA VAZ

Respondent(s)

(office report on default)

Date: 29/11/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Srikala Gurukrishna Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V. Bhandarkar, Adv.

Mr. Naik, H.K., Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Since the petitioner has not cured the defects in the contempt petition in spite of reminders by the Registry, the petitioner may not be interested in prosecuting the same. Therefore, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Civil appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Naveen Kumar)
Court Master

(Veena Khera)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 597 OF 2008

MUNIYAMMA & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

ANITHA VAZ

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Despite grant of sufficient time, the appellants have not filed their statement of case as laid down under Order XV Rule 35

of the Supreme Court Rules. It only shows that the appellants may not be interested in pursuing their matter. Consequently, the civil appeal is dismissed.

.....J.
(H.L. DATTU)

NEW DELHI
NOVEMBER 29, 2011