

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 1862-1863/2010

M.P. STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SATYANARAYANA CHOUDHARY

Respondent(s)

WITH

- C.A. No. 1849/2010
- C.A. No. 1850-1851/2010
- C.A. No. 1852/2010
- C.A. No. 1853-1854/2010
- C.A. No. 1855-1856/2010
- C.A. No. 1857-1858/2010
- C.A. No. 1859-1860/2010
- C.A. No. 1861/2010
- (With Office Report)

Date : 18/02/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. B. S. Banthia,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms.Vaishali,adv.

Mr. Annam D. N. Rao,Adv.

Ms. K. V. Bharathi Upadhyaya,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellants and the Ld.counsel for the respondents have failed to file the

statement of case in all the matters, although they have been

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by Sushma Kumari Bajaj

notified to do so by notice dated 16.11.2010 of this Registry.

Date: 2015.02.20

16:41:40 IST

Reason:

Order XIX Rule 32 of the t

Supreme Court Rules, 2013 provides tha

if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing

chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of  
petition for seeking special leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as  
statement of case,and does not desire to file any further  
statement of case. The order further provides that if the  
respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of  
case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it  
shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, these matters  
shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the  
rules.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar

SB