

ITEM NO.301

IN COURT NO.7

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 598 OF 2008

S.D.JOSHI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY &ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 22/10/2010

This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Shubhangi Tuli, Adv.
Mr. Vimal Chandra S. Dave, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

For High Court

Mr. V.A. Mohta, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Rukmini Bobde, Adv.
Mr. Nilakanta Nayak, Adv.
Ms. Soumi Guha Thakurta, Adv.
For M/s. P.H. Parekh & Co., Adv.

For Union of India

Mr. H.P. Raval, ASG.
Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.
Ms. Shweta Verma, Adv.
Mr. Harish Kumar Khinchi, Adv.
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For the State

Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Rule Nisi.

During the course of arguments, it was pointed

WP(C) No. 598 of 2008

out to us by the learned Additional Solicitor General
that one of us (Hon'ble Mr. Justice Swatanter Kumar)
was a party to the decision taken in a Full Court
Meeting of the High Court of Judicature at Bombay on
29th April, 2007, rejecting the representation of the

Family Court Judges.

We wanted to release the matter but on unanimous request of all the learned counsel appearing for different parties, we had proceeded to hear the matter rather than releasing the same.

In view of these circumstances, we heard the matter, arguments have been concluded. Orders reserved.

(KALYANI GUPTA)
SR. P.A.

(O.P. GARG)
COURT MASTER