

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 1844 OF 2011

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S MUNDRA PORT & SEZ LTD.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH

R.P.(C) NO.904/2013

R.P.(C) NO.1848/2010 IN SLP(C) NO.19498/2010

R.P.(C) NO.644/2011 IN SLP(C) NO.19502/2010

R.P.(C) NO.1681/2011 IN SLP(C) NO.10492/2010

Date: 27/08/2013 This Petition was circulated today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Kshitiz Karjee, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Kumar, Adv.

Ms Neha Agarwal, Adv.

Mr E.C.Agrawala, Adv.

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following

O R D E R

R.P.(C) NO.1844/2011

On behalf of respondent no.1, the ld. Counsel, Mr Kshitiz Karjee appearing on behalf of Mr Praveen Kumar, Advocate-on-record has undertaken to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit by next date. Four weeks' time is granted.

Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 are reported to be duly served through post but none appeared on their behalf.

-2-

Item No.22

R.P.(C) NO.904/2013

Notice be re issued to the sole respondent on furnishing the fresh and complete address within three weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

R.P.(C) NO.1848/2010 IN SLP(C) NO.19498/2010

Office report indicates that on behalf of respondent an application for changing the name of the Company was moved which came to be allowed by the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers vide order dt.19.8.2013. The ld. Counsel for the petitioner to take necessary steps for amendment in the cause title accordingly.

Service report in respect of respondent No.2 in the present review petition is awaited.

It appears that he has been duly and properly served in the other connected RP(C) no. 1681/2011. Therefore, he is deemed to be duly and properly served in the present petition also. Counter affidavit on his behalf be filed within four weeks.

R.P.(C) NO.644/2011 IN SLP(C) NO.19502/2010

It appears that the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers vide order dt.19.8.2013 has allowed the prayer of the sole respondent for change of the name of the Company in the cause title. The ld. Counsel for the petitioner to carry out the necessary amendment in the cause title by next date.

-3-

Item No.22

R.P.(C) NO.1681/2011 IN SLP(C) NO.10492/2010

Respondent No.1 has failed to file counter affidavit within the time granted by the Court and as permissible under the rules.

Office report indicates that on behalf of respondent an application for changing the name of the Company was moved which came to be allowed by the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers vide order dt.19.8.2013. The ld. Counsel for the petitioner to take necessary steps for amendment in the cause title accordingly.

List again on 25.9.2013.

		(M.A.SAYEED)	
		REGISTRAR	

hj