

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).23922/2007

(From the judgement and order dated 12/06/2007 in WA No.1319/2000  
of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

TAMIL NADU HOUSING BOARD & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K. MANOHARAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 23945 of 2007  
(With office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 23940 of 2007  
(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 23941 of 2007  
(With office report)

I.A.NOS.3-4 (APPLNS. FOR SUBSTITUTION OF DECEASED RESPONDENT NO.1  
AND C/DELAY IN FILING APPLN. FOR SUBSTITUTION OF DECEASED RESPONDENT  
NO.1)

Date: 26/09/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.M. PANCHAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anesh Paul, Adv.  
Mr. Akshat H., Adv.  
Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. N. Subramaniam, Adv.  
Mr. V. Senthil Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv.

Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Perused the letter.

Four weeks time, as prayed for, is granted to  
enable the petitioner to file rejoinder affidavit.  
List the matters thereafter.

- 2 -

I.A.NO.4 IN SLP (C) NO.23941/2007

By filing this application, the applicant has prayed to condone the delay of 217 days caused in filing application for bringing legal representatives of the deceased respondent No.1 on the record of the case. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the applicant. In view of the averments made in the application, delay caused in filing the substitution application deserves to be condoned. The application, therefore, succeeds. Delay caused in filing substitution application is condoned. The application, accordingly, stands disposed of.

I.A.NO.3 IN SLP (C) NO.23941/2007

By filing this application, the applicant has prayed to bring legal representatives of deceased respondent No.1 as mentioned in the prayer clause on the record of the SLP. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the applicant. In view of the averments made in the application, the prayer claimed in the application deserves to be granted. Hence, the application succeeds. The legal representatives of respondent No.1 as mentioned in the prayer clause are ordered to be brought on the record of SLP (C) No.23941/2007. The application, accordingly, stands disposed of.

(Neetu Sachdeva)  
Sr. P. A.

(Sneh Bala Mehra)  
Court Master