

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).8732-8736/2010

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAKRU MAHAGU BINJEWAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH SLP(Crl) NO. 9187 of 2010
(With office report)
SLP(Crl) NO. 9194 of 2010
(With office report)
SLP(Crl) NO. 9431-9435 of 2010
(With office report)

Date: 16/01/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Amar Nath Saini, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, Adv.
Mr Vishaal S Joudand, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Office has been repeatedly directed not to
consider any service as deemed service for reasons
which are not supported by law and rules.

Unfortunately, even after such specific
observation in several cases, repeatedly we are receiving
office report stating that notice may be treated as
complete in such matters. Because of such statement in
the office report, Advocates appearing for the parties do

Item No.7

not agree to confirm service upon unserved respondents
even if respondents are not properly served.

In the present case, if there are cross petitions,

the ld. Advocate for the petitioner in all such SLPs are directed to confirm service through the concerned Advocate who has filed separate petition for such unserved respondents and to file such proof on record to avoid issuance of fresh notices.

Served respondents may file counter affidavit.

Proof of service and counter affidavit to be filed before 22.2.2012.

(S.G.SHAH)
REGISTRAR

hj