

ITEM NO.120 COURT NO.6 SECTION IVA  
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5857 OF 2006

SYED ASHRAF Appellant (s)

VERSUS

LILY D'SOUZA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and with office report)

Date: 19/07/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Appellant(s) Mr. Basava Prabhu Patil, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Joseph Pookkatt, Adv.  
Mr. B.S.Iyengar, Adv.  
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv. for  
M/S. Ap & J Chambers

For Respondent(s) Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.  
Mr. Girish Ananthamurthy, Adv.  
Mr. P.P. Singh, Adv

Mr. Arvind Verma, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kashi Vishweshwar, Adv.  
Mr. Vishruti Vijay, Adv.  
Mr. A. Sumathi, Adv.  
Mr. R.C. Kohli, Adv

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv

Mr. Manish K. Bishnoi, Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Application for direction also stands dismissed.

( Rajesh Dham ) ( Indu Satija )  
Court Master Court Master  
(signed order is placed on the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5857 OF 2006

SYED ASHRAF Appellant (s)

VERSUS

LILY D'SOUZA & ORS. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the appearing parties.

Having gone through the impugned judgment dated 26.09.2005 passed by the High Court of Karnataka and having perused the record, we are of the opinion that there is no infirmity in the impugned judgment and hence it does not call for our interference.

Having no merit, the Appeal is dismissed.

Application for direction also stands dismissed.

.....J.  
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

NEW DELHI;  
JULY 19, 2011

.....J.  
(CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD)