

¢

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C)NO.1017 OF 2010

SAVITA ... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

RAJESH MALIK ... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Despite service of notice, nobody appears for the respondent and in this view of the matter, the Transfer Petition is disposed of. Accordingly, we order for transfer of H.M.A.Case No.267 of 2009 titled as Rajesh Malik Vs. Savita from the Court of Additional District Judge, Dwarka, New Delhi to the Court of District Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhari, Haryana.

This Transfer Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

.....J.
(DALVEER BHANDARI)

.....J.
(DEEPAK VERMA)

NEW DELHI;
31ST JANUARY, 2011
ITEM NO.61

COURT NO.4

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 1017 OF 2010

SAVITA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJESH MALIK Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 31/01/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Gautam Godara, Adv.
For Mr. Ravindra Keshavrao Adsure,Adv.

For Respondent(s) NONE

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Despite service of notice, nobody appears for the
respondent.

The Transfer Petition is disposed of, in terms of the
signed order.

(G.V.Ramana)
Court Master

(Neeru Bala Vij)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)