

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4087 OF 2009

PADMINI TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED

.....Appellant(s)

Vs.

SECURITIES EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

.....Respondent(s)

O R D E R

It is pointed out that the appellant has already suffered the debarment period in connection with the subject matter of this appeal, which ended on 31.01.2012. In that sense, this appeal has become infructuous and we propose to dispose of the same as such.

However, it is made clear that the disposal of the appeal in terms of this order is not recording any opinion either way on the correctness of the findings recorded by the authorities below against which this appeal arise. As and when occasion arises in future proceedings before this Court, it will be open to the appellant to raise those issues, which can be considered by this Court on its own merits.

All contentions available to both sides in the present appeal are left open in the above terms.

All pending applications stand disposed of.

Papers be consigned to record.

....., J.
(DINESH MAHESHWARI)

....., J.
(ANIRUDDHA BOSE)

NEW DELHI
MAY 19, 2022.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No. 4087 of 2009 @ C.A. Nos. 4085-4088/2009

PADMINI TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SECURITIES EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Respondent(s)

Date : 19-05-2022 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MAHESHWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Deeksha Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Rohan Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Bhatnagar, Adv.
Mr. Nicholas Choudhury, Adv.
Mr. Atul Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Anmol, Adv.
Mr. Srheesh Chadha, Adv.
M/S. Karanjawala & Co., AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. Niranjan Reddy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Bhargava V. Desai, AOR
Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sahil Raveen, Adv.
Ms. Akhila Palem, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Jasra, Adv.
Mr. Utkarsh Vats, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is pointed out that the appellant has already suffered the debarment period in connection with the subject matter of this appeal, which ended on 31.01.2012. In that sense, this appeal has become infructuous and we propose to dispose of the same as such.

However, it is made clear that the disposal of the appeal in terms of this order is not recording any opinion either way on the correctness of the findings recorded by the authorities below against which this appeal arise. As and when occasion arises in future proceedings before this Court, it will be open to the appellant to raise those issues, which can be considered by this Court on its own merits.

All contentions available to both sides in the present appeal are left open in the above terms.

All pending applications stand disposed of.

Papers be consigned to record.

(NEETA SAPRA)
COURT MASTER (SH)
(Signed order is placed on the file)

(RANJANA SHAILY)
COURT MASTER (NSH)