

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 8902/2010

SHARWAN KUMAR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for early hearing and office report)

Date : 29/07/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Pawanshree Agrawal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.  
Mr. Suraj Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

This appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed  
order.

[INDU POKHRIYAL]  
COURT MASTER

[SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR]  
A.R.-CUM-P.S.

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8902 OF 2010

SHARWAN KUMAR

APPELLANT (S)

Versus

STATE OF U.P. AND OTHERS

RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R

This appeal by special leave is directed against the judgment and order dated 21.8.2008 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench, Lucknow in Special Appeal No. 354 (SB) of 1999.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, we do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order. This appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

However, learned counsel appearing for the appellant submitted that the salary of the appellant was with-held for about 10 years and it was released only after the contempt petition was filed, that too, without giving any increment and revision of pay. The respondents are directed to look into the matter and see that if the salary was not paid, as per the

...2/-

revised pay scale and increments have not been given,  
the same shall be calculated and released in favour  
of the appellant within a period of two months from  
today.

.....J.  
(M.Y. EQBAL)

.....J.  
(ARUN MISHRA)

New Delhi,  
July 29, 2015