

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).30292/2010

(From the judgement and order(s) in AP No. 14/2007 dated 03-AUG-10 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

SANGLI, MIRAJ & KUPWAD CITY MUNICIPAL COR.SANGLI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAI TRADING COMPANY FIRM THRU PARTNER Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH I.A.NO.2 (Appln(s) for intervention)

Date: 23/08/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sudhanshu S.Choudhari,Adv.
Mr. S.S. Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Upadhyay, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vinay Navare, Adv.
Mr. Keshav Ranjan, Adv.
Ms. Abha R. Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav ,Adv
Mr. S.K. Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Prima facie, the manner in which clauses (15) and (16) have been drafted leaves much to be desired. We find that to add to the confusion, the Municipal Corporation has also passed a resolution agreeing for arbitration.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner Municipal Corporation explained that the resolution of the Municipal Corporation was not with reference to huge counter claim that is being made by the respondent. Be that as it may.

.....2.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances, we are of the view that mediation by a high authority like the Principal Secretary (Urban Development), Government of Maharashtra may be fruitful.

We consider this a fit case for reference to mediation. Having regard to the nature of dispute and the nature of parties concerned, we refer the parties to the Principal Secretary (Urban Development), Government of Maharashtra, who may try to sort out the issues by discussing the matter with both parties and guide the

parties to arrive at a settlement.

List after three weeks.

( Ravi P. Verma )  
AR-cum-PS

( M.S. Negi )  
Court Master