

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SCivil Appeal No(s). 7010/2009

DINESH KUMAR RAJ

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for directions and exemption from filing O.T. and permission to file supplementary additional counter affidavit and office report)

Date : 19/01/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Ms. Preetika Dwivedi,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. P.N. Misra,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Kumar Singh,Adv.
Mr. Abhish Kumar,Adv.Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg,Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Garg,Adv.
Mr. Dhananjay Garg,Adv.
Mr. Deepak Mishra,Adv.Mr. Gunnam Venkateswara Rao,Adv.
Mr. Ram Prasad,Adv.
Mr. Siddarth Krishana Dwivedi,Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(Madhu Bala)
Court Master
(Signed order is placed on the file)

(Veena Khera)
Court Master

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7010 OF 2009

DINESH KUMAR RAJ

...APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

...RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the parties states that it is not necessary to go into the controversy at this stage as both the contesting parties have already granted promotion and there is no further promotion for which seniority is relevant.

The appeal is disposed of in the above terms.

However, if in future it becomes necessary to go into the seniority dispute on account of some higher promotional avenue, it will be open to the parties to raise such dispute at appropriate forum which will be decided in accordance with law.

Correctness of the judgment is left open.

.....J.
[ADARSH KUMAR GOEL]

NEW DELHI
19TH JANUARY, 2017

.....J.
[UDAY UMESH LALIT]