

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s).5125-5126/2013

A.P. RANGARAO .(DEAD) THR LRS & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

K. SARASWATHI . & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

Date : 19-11-2025 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Appellant(s) :

Mrs. K. Sarada Devi, AOR
Ms. Kaveri Kalyana Ram, Adv.
Mr. Godavari V Durga Prasad, Adv.
Mr. I.v. Kasyap, Adv.
Mr. G. Anil Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Ms. Shobha Ramamoorthy, AOR
Mr. Shilp Vinod, Adv.
Mr. Gokulakrisnan, Adv.Ms. Purnima Krishna, AOR
Mr. M.f.philip, Adv.
Mr. Togin M. Babichen, Adv.Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Both the appellants and respondent no.1 herein filed different suits. Respondent no.1 filed a suit for declaration of title over the subject land, whereas the appellants filed a suit for possession based on her (respondent no.1's) title. The First Appellate Court, while dismissing respondent no.1's suit for title, also dismissed the appellants' suit for possession on the ground that respondent no.1 herein has perfected her rights by way of

adverse possession.

2. Ms. Shobha Ramamoorthy, learned counsel appearing for respondent no.1, further submits that in any event the appellants' suit is barred by limitation, for as is evident from the plaint (pertaining to the cause of action), the appellants came to know about respondent no.1's possession only in the year 1993, whereas the suit was filed only in the year 2005. Hence, in any eventuality, the present appeals merit dismissal.

3. Before we adjudicate the issue on merits, we direct respondent no.1 to explore the possibility of settlement, which perhaps can be by way of monetary compensation to the appellants.

4. List on 10.12.2025 (top of the Board).

(D. NAVEEN)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANU BHALLA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)