

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).7837-7912/2008

(From the judgement and order dated 26/10/2007 in WP No. 114/2007 & WP No. 359/2007 & WP No. 474/2007 & WP No. 496/2007 & WP No. 621/2007 & WP No. 1096/2007 & WP No. 1098/2007 & WP No. 1100/2007 & WP No. 2216/2007 & WP No. 2501/2007 & WP No. 2610/2007 & WP No. 2746/2007 & WP No. 2847/2007 & WP No. 2882/2007 & WP No. 2888/2007 & WP No. 2947/2007 & WP No. 2973/2007 & WP No. 4292/2007 & WP No. 4508/2007 & WP No. 4889/2007 & WP No. 5423/2007 & WP No. 5746/2007 & WP No. 6266/2006 & WP No. 6520/2006 & WP No. 6643/2006 & WP No. 6780/2006 & WP No. 6781/2006 & WP No. 6782/2006 & WP No. 6783/2006 & WP No. 6784/2006 & WP No. 6785/2006 & WP No. 6786/2006 & WP No. 6787/2006 & WP No. 6788/2006 & WP No. 6790/2006 & WP No. 6791/2006 & WP No. 6792/2006 & WP No. 6793/2006 & WP No. 6794/2006 & WP No. 6795/2006 & WP No. 6797/2006 & WP No. 6798/2006 & WP No. 6799/2007 & WP No. 6800/2006 & WP No. 6801/2006 & WP No. 6802/2006 & WP No. 6805/2006 & WP No. 6807/2006 & WP No. 7281/2006 & WP No. 7285/2006 & WP No. 7286/2006 & WP No. 7318/2006 & WP No. 7873/2006 & WP No. 7874/2006 & WP No. 7895/2006 & WP No. 7913/2006 & WP No. 7914/2006 & WP No. 7915/2006 & WP No. 7917/2006 & WP No. 7918/2006 & WP No. 8037/2006 & WP No. 8050/2006 & WP No. 8624/2006 & WP No. 8639/2006 & WP No. 8641/2006 & WP No. 8648/2006 & WP No. 8651/2006 & WP No. 8652/2006 & WP No. 8653/2006 & WP No. 8675/2006 & WP No. 8676/2006 & WP No. 8679/2006 & WP No. 8680/2006 & WP No. 8685/2006 & WP No. 8686/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

TILLOTTAM ARJUN DEOTALE & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and permission to file additional documents and with prayer for interim relief and office report))

Date: 11/03/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manish Pitale, Adv.
Mr. Wasi Haider, Adv.
Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, Adv.

:1:

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv.
Mr. Anjani Kumar Jha, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay V. Kharde, Adv. for
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the posts of Sub-Inspector, which were made available for the limited examination, were reduced from 750 to 300 and,

therefore, it actually creates imbalance and consequently
the petitioners have stagnated on their posts. Hence, they
have a grievance.

In our opinion, it is in the interest of the State
Government itself that their officials should not have any
grievance because if they have a grievance they will not be
able to serve the State as efficiently as expected. Hence,
in our opinion, the State Government itself should consider
this matter so that the petitioners may not be having any
grievance in this connection and this consideration should
be done as expeditiously as possible by the high officers
of the State Government.

List on 13.04.2011.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

:2: